

UNIVERSITY PREPARATORY ACADEMY

SINGLE AUDIT

JUNE 30, 2010

UNIVERSITY PREPARATORY ACADEMY  
SINGLE AUDIT REPORT  
JUNE 30, 2010

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page(s)</u>
Independent Auditors' Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit Performed in Accordance With Government Auditing Standards	1-2
Independent Auditors' Report on Compliance with Requirements Applicable to each Major Program and Internal Control over Compliance in Accordance With OMB Circular A-133	3-4
Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards	5
Notes to Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards	6
Supplemental Schedules – Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs	7
Status of Prior Years Findings and Questioned Costs	8



**GREGORY  
TERRELL  
& COMPANY**

REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND  
OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN  
ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS

To the Board of Directors of  
The Public School Academies of Detroit

We have audited the financial statements of the governmental activities, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of University Preparatory Academy (“UPA”), as of and for the year ended June 30, 2010, which collectively comprise UPA’s basic financial statements and have issued our report thereon dated September 9, 2010. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States.

**Internal Control Over Financial Reporting**

In planning and performing our audit, we considered UPA’s internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of UPA’s internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the UPA’s internal control over financial reporting.

A *deficiency in internal control* exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct misstatements on a timely basis. A *material weakness* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity’s financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis.


Our consideration of internal control over financial reporting was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting that might be deficiencies, significant deficiencies, or material weaknesses. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting that we consider to be material weaknesses, as defined above.

## **Compliance and Other Matters**

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether UPA's financial statements are free of material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instance of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

We noted certain other matters that we reported to management of UPA in a separate letter dated September 9, 2010.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of management, the Board of Directors, others within the entity, and federal awarding agencies and pass-through entities and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Gregory Terrell & Company". The signature is written in dark ink on a light-colored background.

**GREGORY TERRELL & COMPANY**  
Certified Public Accountants

September 9, 2010



**GREGORY  
TERRELL  
& COMPANY**

REPORT ON COMPLIANCE WITH REQUIREMENTS  
APPLICABLE TO EACH MAJOR PROGRAM AND ON INTERNAL CONTROL  
OVER COMPLIANCE IN ACCORDANCE WITH OMB CIRCULAR A-133

To the Board of Directors of  
The Public School Academies of Detroit

**Compliance**

We have audited the compliance of University Preparatory Academy (“UPA”) with the types of compliance requirements described in the *U.S. Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Circular A-133 Compliance Supplement* that are applicable to each of its major federal programs for the year ended June 30, 2010. UPA’s major federal programs are identified in the summary of auditors’ results section of the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs. Compliance with the requirements of laws, regulations, contracts, and grants applicable to each of its major federal programs is the responsibility of UPA’s management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on UPA’s compliance based on our audit.

We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and OMB Circular A-133, *Audits of States, Local Governments, and Non-Profit Organizations*. Those standards and OMB Circular A-133 require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on a major federal program occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about UPA’s compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion. Our audit does not provide a legal determination of UPA’s compliance with those requirements.

In our opinion, UPA complied, in all material respects, with the requirements referred to above that are applicable to each of its major federal programs for the year ended June 30, 2010.

## **Internal Control Over Compliance**

The management of UPA is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with the requirements of laws, regulations, contracts, and grants applicable to federal programs. In planning and performing our audit, we considered UPA's internal control over compliance with requirements that could have a direct and material effect on a major federal program in order to determine our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinion on compliance, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of UPA's internal control over compliance.


*A deficiency in internal control over compliance* exists when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program on a timely basis. *A material weakness in internal control over compliance* is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance, such that there is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis.

Our consideration of the internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and would not necessarily identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be significant deficiencies or material weaknesses. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses, as defined above.

## **Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards**

We have audited the basic financial statements of UPA as of and for the year ended June 30, 2010, and have issued our report thereon dated September 9, 2010. Our audit was performed for the purpose of forming an opinion on the basic financial statements taken as a whole. The accompanying schedule of expenditures of federal awards is presented for purposes of additional analysis as required by OMB Circular A-133 and is not a required part of the basic financial statements. Such information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the basic financial statements and, in our opinion, is fairly stated, in all material respects, in relation to the basic financial statements taken as a whole.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the audit committee, management, Board of Directors, and federal awarding agencies and pass-through entities and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.



**GREGORY TERRELL & COMPANY**  
Certified Public Accountants

September 9, 2010

**UNIVERSITY PREPARATORY ACADEMY**  
**SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS**  
**JUNE 30, 2010**

Federal Grantor Pass Through Grantor Program Title Grant Number	Federal CFDA Number	Approved Grant Award Amount	Accrued or (Deferred) Revenue at July 1, 2009	Current Year Receipts (Cash Basis)	Current Year Expenditures	Federal Funds/ Payments Received In Kind	Accrued or (Deferred) Revenue at June 30, 2010
U.S. Department of Agriculture							
Passed through the Michigan Department of Education							
National School Lunch Breakfast							
Project Number 071970 0809	10.553	\$ 36,864	\$ 1,787	\$ 1,787	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Project Number 071970 0910	10.553	48,043	-	44,793	48,044	-	3,251
Commodities							
Entitlement	10.569	29,250	-	2,363	2,363	-	-
Bonus	10.569	-	-	5,474	5,474	-	-
CACFP Meals							
Project Number 071970 0910	10.558	40,018	-	28,991	40,018	-	11,027
National School Lunch Program							
Project Number # 071970 0809	10.555	334,761	13,945	13,945	-	-	-
Project Number # 071970 0910	10.555	467,194	-	440,608	467,194	-	26,586
Total U.S. Department of Agriculture		956,130	15,732	537,961	563,093	-	40,864
U.S. Federal Communications Commission							
E-Rate, Priority #1 0910	32.001	57,543	-	57,543	57,543	-	-
E-Rate, Priority #2 0910	32.001	2,147,641	-	417,926	417,926	-	-
Total U.S. Federal Communications Commission		2,205,184	-	475,469	475,469	-	-
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION							
Passed through the Michigan Department of Education							
Office of Elementary and Secondary Education Program.							
Title I, Part A - Improving Basic Programs							
Project # 091530 0809	84.010	834,064	111,998	181,144	69,146	-	-
Project # 101530 0910	84.010	1,100,893	-	564,005	832,941	-	268,936
Total		1,934,957	111,998	745,149	902,087	-	268,936
Title II, Part A - Teacher/Principal Training							
Project # 090520 0809	84.367	45,619	1,639	1,639	-	-	-
Project # 090520 0809	84.367	46,616	-	7,151	23,652	-	16,501
Total		92,235	1,639	8,790	23,652	-	16,501
American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009:							
Education Stabilization 2009, Project #092525 0809	84.394	606,962	606,962	606,962	-	-	-
Education Stabilization 2010, Project #102525 0910	84.394	457,699	-	378,503	457,699	-	79,196
Title I, Part A, Project #101535 0910	84.389	728,450	-	583,501	636,392	-	52,891
Title II, Part D, Project #104295 0910	84.386	21,592	-	-	3,710	-	3,710
IDEA Flowthrough	84.391	208,969	-	183,460	208,969	-	25,509
Total		2,023,672	606,962	1,752,426	1,306,770	-	161,306
Wayne County RESA IDEA Flowthrough	84.027A	198,707	-	161,536	198,707	-	37,171
Total		198,707	-	161,536	198,707	-	37,171
Total Office of Elementary and Secondary Education Program.		4,249,571	720,599	2,667,901	2,431,216	-	483,914
TOTAL FEDERAL AWARDS		\$ 7,410,885	\$ 736,331	\$ 3,681,331	\$ 3,469,778	\$ -	\$ 524,778

The accompanying notes are an integral part of this schedule.

UNIVERSITY PREPARATORY ACADEMY  
NOTES TO SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS  
JUNE 30, 2010

(1) **SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES**

The accompanying Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards presents the activity of federal financial assistance programs of the University Preparatory Academy ("UPA"), and is presented on the same basis of accounting as the basic financial statements. Federal awards received directly from federal agencies as well as federal awards passed through other agencies are included on the schedule. The information in this schedule is presented in accordance with the requirement of OMB Circular A-133, *Audit of States, Local Governments, and Non-Profit Organizations*. Therefore, some amounts presented in this schedule may differ from amounts presented in, or used in the preparation of, the basic financial statements.

(2) **GRANT SECTION AUDITOR'S REPORT**

The Academy utilized the Grants Section Auditors Report (Form R-7120) and CMS Grant Auditor Report (GAR) in preparing the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards.

(3) **MAJOR PROGRAMS**

Major programs were determined in accordance with the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Circular A-133, "*Audits of States, Local Governments and Non-Profit Organizations*".

UNIVERSITY PREPARATORY ACADEMY  
SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS  
JUNE 30, 2010

**Section I – Summary of Auditors’ Results**

Financial Statements

Type of auditors’ report issued: Unqualified

Internal control over financial reporting:

Material weakness(es) identified? \_\_\_\_\_yes  X no

Significant deficiency(ies) identified not considered to be material weakness(es)? \_\_\_\_\_ yes  X no

Noncompliance material to financial statements noted? \_\_\_\_\_ yes  X no

Federal Awards

Internal Control over major programs:

Material weakness(es) identified? \_\_\_\_\_ yes  X no

Significant deficiency(ies) identified not considered to be material weakness(es)? \_\_\_\_\_ yes  X no

Type of auditors’ report issued on compliance for major programs: Unqualified

Any audit findings disclosed that are required to be reported in accordance with Circular A-133, Section .510(a)? \_\_\_\_\_ yes  X no

Identification of major programs:

<u>CFDA Number</u>	<u>Name of Federal Program</u>
84.010	Title I – Part A
84.394	American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2010: Education Stabilization 2010

Dollar threshold used to distinguish between Type A and Type B programs: \$300,000

Auditee qualified as low-risk auditee? \_\_\_\_\_ yes  X no

**Section II – Financial Statement Findings**

There were no financial statement findings

**Section III – Federal Awards Findings**

There were no federal award findings

UNIVERSITY PREPARATORY ACADEMY  
STATUS OF PRIOR YEAR FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS  
JUNE 30, 2010

**I. SUMMARY OF PRIOR YEAR FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS**

There were no findings or questioned costs in the 2009 Single Audit.